

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,024	10/31/2003	Seung-Hee Nam	8733.895.00-US	1084
30827	7590 09/09/2005		EXAM	INER
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			CALEY, MICHAEL H	
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sqrt{I}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U	
	10/697,024	NAM ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael H. Caley	2871		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ulv 2005.			
•	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-22</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•	•	
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv	ion No		
application from the International Bureau		ad		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies hot receive	su.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	700 mg () 210 graf () 100 graf ()		

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7/29/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Application/Control Number: 10/697,024

Claims 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dohjo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,835,177 "Dohjo").

Regarding claim 5, Dohjo discloses a manufacturing method of an array substrate comprising:

forming a gate electrode (Figure 7 element 111) on a substrate having a display region (Figure 7, TFT region) and a non-display region (Figure 7, Scan line pad, Signal line pad);

forming a gate insulating layer (Figure 8 elements 115 and 117) on the gate electrode;

forming an active and an ohmic contact layer (Figure 8 element 119; Column 13 lines 28-31) on the gate insulating layer over the gate electrode;

forming source (Figure 13 element 126a) and drain (Figure 13 element 126b)` electrodes;

forming a pixel electrode (Figure 13 element 131) contacting the drain electrode on the gate insulating layer;

forming an alignment layer (Figure 2 element 141) on the pixel electrode and the source and drain electrodes;

forming a data line (Figure 1 element 110) connected to the source electrode and having a data pad (Figure 13 element 111b) at the non-display region; and

forming a data pad terminal (Figure 13 element 131) contacting the data pad.

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Dohjo discloses the data pad terminal and the pixel electrode as formed at the same time and of the same material (Column 14 lines 45-66).

Regarding claim 9, Dohjo discloses at least one of the electrodes as formed by a dry etching method (Column 14 lines 45-53).

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0035527 "Tanaka").

Tanaka discloses a method of manufacturing an array substrate for a liquid crystal display device comprising:

forming a thin film transistor (Figure 3 element 200) having a gate electrode (Figure 5A element 201), source (Figure 11 element 213) and drain (Figure 11 element 214) electrodes, an active layer (Figure 10 element 104), and an ohmic contact layer (Figure 11 element 109);

forming a pixel electrode (Figures 10 and 11 element 209) contacting the drain electrode;

wherein the formation of at least one of the electrodes, the active layer, and the ohmic contact layer are processed by a photolithography method using photoresists; and wherein one of the photoresists used in the formation is removed by a dry strip method using dry gases (Page 4 [0070], [0074]).

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dohjo in view of Matsunaga et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,510,918 "Matsunaga").

Dohjo fails to disclose the pad terminal as extending to the display region. Matsunaga, however, teaches a pad terminal less susceptible to corrosion by extending the pad terminal to the display region beneath a passivation layer (Figures 8 and 19 element DTM; Column 12 lines 19-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the pad terminal disclosed by Dohjo to extend to the display region.

One would have been motivated to extend the pad terminal to the display region to keep the resistance of the data terminal from increasing due to corrosion (Column 12 lines 26-30).

Claims 10, 11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dohjo in view of Tanaka.

Regarding claims 10 and 13, Dohjo fails to disclose one of the electrodes or ohmic contact layer as formed by a photolithography method using a photoresist. Tanaka, however, teaches a photolithography method using a photoresist to form at least one of the electrodes and

Art Unit: 2871

the ohmic contact layer (Page 4 [0070], [0073], Page 5 [0075], [0080]) as part of a method of finely forming TFT and pixel electrode elements on a substrate (Page 1 [0004]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed at least one of the electrodes and the ohmic contact layer in the display device disclosed by Dohjo by a photolithography method using a photoresist. Tanaka teaches such a method as conventionally used to finely form display elements on the active matrix substrate (Page 1 [0004]). One would have been motivated to use such a technique to benefit from the ability to finely control the placement of the TFT elements at a high density according to conventional methods.

Regarding claims 11 and 14, Dohjo fails to disclose the photoresist used in the photolithography method as removed by a dry strip method (ashing). Tanaka, however, teaches such a photoresist removal method as beneficial to enable a reduction in the number of photolithography steps in forming the TFT electrodes and ohmic contact layer (Page 2 [0011]-[0013], Page 4 [0073], [0074], Page 6 [0086]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the photoresist by a dry strip method in the display device disclosed by Dohjo. One would have been motivated to apply such a method to reduce the number of photolithography steps (Page 2 [0011]-[0013]) and to configure the lateral dimensions of the layers such that impurities in the liquid crystal layer are prevented from entering the a-Si film (Page 6 [0086]).

Art Unit: 2871

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dohjo in view of Tanaka and in further view of Okutani (U.S. Patent No. 5,135,608 "Okutani").

Dohjo as modified by Tanaka discloses the dry strip method as using dry gases, but fails to disclose the use of O_2 as a base gas and SF_6 or CF_4 as a reactive gas. Okutani, however, teaches a mixture of CF_4 and O_2 as an alternative dry gas to O_2 alone in a dry strip method (Column 5 lines 10-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used O_2 as a base gas and SF_6 or CF_4 as a reactive gas in the dry strip method. One would have been motivated to use such a dry gas mixture as an engineering expediency to achieve the expected results of such a mixture such as a particular photoresist removal rate.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dohjo in view of Tanaka and in further view of Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,621,537 "Nakamura").

Dohjo as modified by Tanaka fails to disclose the upper surface of the ohmic contact layer as etched to a depth between about 100 and about 700 Angstroms. Nakamura, however, teaches an etched ohmic contact film with a controllable thickness between 200 and 700 Angstroms (Column 8 lines 35-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the ohmic contact layer to have an etched thickness between 100 and 700 Angstroms and a thickness before etching of 400 and 1000 Angstroms. One would have been motivated to set the thickness before etching and the etched thickness as proposed to allow

Art Unit: 2871

for controllability of the ohmic contact layer thickness according to a desired ON current for the TFT device (Column 8 lines 35-38).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dohjo in view of Choi (U.S. Patent No. 6,169,592).

Dohjo fails to disclose the alignment layer as formed by a printing method. Choi, however, teaches the alignment layer as formed by a printing method (Column 2 line 10 – Column 3 line 16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the alignment layer disclosed by Dohjo by means of a printing method. One would have been motivated to form the alignment layer by a printing method as taught by Choi to avoid the labor intensive processes of alternative alignment layer forming methods (Column 2 lines 13-15) while forming a display having satisfactory display characteristics (Column 2 lines 36-49).

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka in view of Okutani.

Tanaka discloses the dry strip method as using dry gases, but fails to disclose the use of O_2 as a base gas and SF_6 or CF_4 as a reactive gas. Okutani, however, teaches a mixture of CF_4 and O_2 as an alternative dry gas to O_2 alone in a dry strip method (Column 5 lines 10-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used O₂ as a base gas and SF₆ or CF₄ as a reactive gas in the dry strip method.

One would have been motivated to use such a dry gas mixture as an engineering expediency to achieve the expected results of such a mixture such as a particular photoresist removal rate.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka in view of Nakamura.

Tanaka discloses the ohmic contact layer as formed after the above dry strip method but fails to disclose the upper surface of the ohmic contact layer as etched to a depth between about 100 and about 700 Angstroms and a thickness before etching of 400 and 1000 Angstroms. Nakamura, however, teaches an etched ohmic contact film with a controllable thickness between 200 and 700 Angstroms (Column 8 lines 35-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the ohmic contact layer to have an etched thickness between 100 and 700 Angstroms and a thickness before etching of 400 and 1000 Angstroms. One would have been motivated to set the thickness before etching and the etched thickness as proposed to allow for controllability of the ohmic contact layer thickness according to a desired ON current for the TFT device (Column 8 lines 35-38).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael H. Caley whose telephone number is (571) 272-2286. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael H. Caley August 31, 2005

nue mhc

DUNGT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER